

MICHAEL J. GUARINO

COUNTY COURTHOUSE 722 MOODY, SUITE 300 GALVESTON, TEXAS 77550 FAX (409) 766-2290

CRIMINAL DISTRICT ATTORNEY GALVESTON COUNTY

September 6, 2000

Opinion Committee

## RECEIVED

SEP (18 2000 OPEN RECORDS DIVISION

FILE # ML-41621-00

1.D. # 41621

John Cornyn Attorney General of Texas P O Box 12548 Austin TX 78711-2548

RECT: (5D SEP 11 2000

RE: Use of Galveston Bay to Connect Two Communities for Purpose of Incorporation to form a New Town

Dear Sir:

ATTENTION:

WAYNE J. MALLIA

**GEORGE H. COOLEY** 

FIRST ASSISTANT

**GRAND JURY CHIEF** 

FELIX M. MARES CHIEF INVESTIGATOR

> Pursuant to Section 402.043 of the Texas Government Code, I respectfully request your opinion on whether two separate communities which have no physical land geographic contact may incorporate to form one town by using a 150 foot wide stretch of offshore Galveston Bay water to provide a contiguous and continuous track between the two communities.

> The separate communities of Bacliff and San Leon are separated on land by the Texas City annexation of land which surrounds a Houston Lighting and Power Plant. The Texas City city limit thereby extends to the shore line on Galveston Bay. Texas City city limits also extend into Galveston Bay but does not include a 150 foot wide strip of water between the Texas City city limit on land to the Texas City city limit claim in Galveston Bay. It is that 150 foot wide strip of Galveston Bay which Bacliff and San Leon intend to use for purpose of connecting the two communities to meet requirements of incorporation. The new town would be called the City of Bayshore. (See attached map of area in question.)

> A review of case law and state statutes has produced no direct answer to the question raised in this request. <u>State v. Stein</u>, 26 S.W.2d 182 (Tex. Comm'n App. 1930, holding approved); 36 S.W.2d 698 (Tex. 1931); <u>Thompson v. City of West Lake Hills</u>, 457 S.W.2d 398 (Tex. App. - Austin 1970); <u>City of West Lake Hills v.</u> <u>State Exec. Rel. City of Austin</u>, 466 S.W.2d 722 (Tex. 1971); <u>State Ex. Rel.</u> <u>Needham v. Willbanks</u>, 595 S.W.2d 849 (Tex. 1980); Tex. Loc. Gov't Code Ann. § 43.0545 (e) (Vernon Supp. 2000); Tex. Nat. Res. Code Ann. § 11.013 (c) (Vernon Supp. 2000).

-

Misdemeanor Section (409) 766-2373 Family Law Section (409) 766-2364 Grand Jury Section (409) 766-2379 This request for a formal opinion is made to resolve a question raised by a duly formed nine member board elected to explore the feasibility of incorporation.

A conflict exist between that nine member board and a group of citizens in that area who argue the means of annexation are not allowed by law. Your assistance in resolving this matter may help in avoiding the expense of an election on this issue. In the event you find this matter to be a fact issue thereby not resolvable by your opinion please contact our office as soon as possible. Otherwise, a formal attorney general opinion on this matter would be greatly appreciated. Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Sincerely,

Mil

MICHAEL J. GUĂRINO Criminal District Attorney Galveston County, Texas

MJG/pls Attachment